

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,285	12/13/2001	Kevin Michael Wueste	MSFT-0673/174290.01	7591
41505	7590 07/03/20	6	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR			· JEAN GILLES, JUDE	
	PHIA, PA 19103	LOOK	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ		2143	
			DATE MAILED: 07/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	LAGIIIIICI	7					
	Jude J. Jean-Gilles	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	nlianaa with 27 CEB 44 27 must h	s filad within the man	the of the date				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof-(37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			. 46 - 1 6				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	itter form for appeal by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		•					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	•	ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	- T						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).					
13.		John Williams					
	CLIDE	DATE OF PATENT EY	ABBINED				
		RVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are as previously presented and all rejection from the Final Office Action sustain. No amendment has been made to the claims to overcome any of the previously presented prior art references. As suggested in the Office action dated 02/27/2006, Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been carefully onsidered, but are not deemed fully persuasive applicant's arguments are deemed moot in view of Bunney and Liu ,which teach a method wherein matching at least one preference with content or said padicipating user with varying degrees of certainty.